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## SEI Overview

- Student self-report survey
- Development based on the Check \& Connect model of engagement
- Academic
- Behavioral
- Affective
- Cognitive


## SEI Validation

- SEI- validated for students in $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Appleton, J.J., Christenson, S.L., Kim, D., \& Reschly, A. (2006). Measuring cognitive and psychological engagement: Validation of the Student Engagement Instrument. Journal of School Psychology, 44, 427-445.
- SEI-Elementary
- Carter, C. P., Reschly, A. L., Lovelace, M. D., Appleton, J. J., \& Thompson, D. (2012). Measuring student engagement among elementary students: Pilot of the student engagement instrument-elementary version. School Psychology Quarterly, 27(2), 61-73.


## SEI Affective Engagement Measures

SEI (6th ${ }^{\text {th }} 2^{\text {th }}$ )

- Affective

Engagement

- Teacher-student relationships (TSR)
- Peer support at school (PSS)
- Family support for learning (FSL)

SEI-Elementary (3 ${ }^{\text {rd_ }}$ $5^{\text {th }}$ )

- Affective

Engagement

- Teacher-student relationships (TSR)
- Peer support for learning (PSL)
- Family support for learning (FSL)
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## SEI Cognitive Engagement Measures

SEI (6 th $-12^{\text {th }}$ )

- Cognitive

Engagement

- Control and

Relevance of School
Work (CRSW)

- Future Aspirations and Goals (FGA)
- Intrinsic Motivation (IM)

SEI-Elementary (3rd_ $5^{\text {th }}$ )

- Cognitive

Engagement

- Future Goals and Aspirations (FGA)


## SEI Administration

- Standardized administration
- Script provided
- Individual items are read to student(s)
- Can be administered paper/pencil or in an online survey format
- Online application in development


## SEI Administration

## Student Engagement Instrument

## MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

- Use a No. 2 pencil or a blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use pens with ink that soaks through the paper

CORRECT:
:
$\qquad$

- Make solid marks that fill the response completely.
- Make no stray marks on this form.

INCORRECT: $\varnothing \otimes$ ©

1. My family/guardian(s) are there for me when I need them.
(1)
(2)
(3)
2. After finishing my schoolwork I check it over to see if it's correct.
(1) (2) (3) (4)
3. My teachers are there for me when I need them.
(1) (2)
(3)
4. Other students here like me the way I am.
(1) (2)
(1) (2)
5. Adults at my school listen to the students.
(1)
6. Other students at school care about me.
7. Students at my school are there for me when I need them.
(1) (2)
8. My education will create many future opportunities for me.
(1)
(3)

CONNECT
8

## SEI Administration



## Individual Items on the SEI

- Student Engagement Instrument (SEI)
- Elementary Student

Engagement Instrument (SEI-E)


CHECK \&
CONNECT
ICI

## SEI Scoring (6 $\left.{ }^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}\right)$

Scoring Procedures
Within each clear box, write the number that corresponds with the rating identified by the student. Use either five or four options depending on the version of the SEI Scale you are using.

5-point scale: Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Agree (4), Strongly Agree (5) 4-point scale: Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Agree (3), Strongly Agree (4)

| Item | TSR | PSS | FSL | CRSW | FG | IM* | *Intrinsic Motivation (IM) is the only domain where the item responses are reversed. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Student responses should be recoded as follows before entering the value in the clear box: |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5-point scale: |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strongly Agree (5) <br> Agree (4) <br> Disagree (2) <br> Strongly Disagree (1)  | $=1$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =2 \\ & =4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=5$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4-point scale: <br> Strongly Agree |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strongly Agree (4) Agree | $=2$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Disagree (2) | = 3 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strongly Disagree (1) |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Write each column total in the box next to the column title.
Then divide by the number of items answered ${ }^{1}$ to calculate a column average

## AFFECTIVE (PSYCHOLOGICAL) ENGAGEMENT:

Teacher-Student Relationships (TSR)
Peer Support at School (PSS)
Family Support for Learning (FSL)
प 9 (or 8 or 7 if fewer answered)
$\square / 6$ (or 5 if fewer answered)
$\square / 4$ (or 3 if fewer answered)

## COGNITIVE ENGAGEMENT

Control and Relevance of School Work (CRSW)
Future Aspirations and Goals (FG)
Intrinsic Motivation (IM)

SEI Total (SEI_Tot)9 (or 8 or 7 if fewer answered)
$\square 5$ (or 4 if fewer answered) $\square / 2$


35 (use number answered)

## SEI Scoring ( $3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ )

Scoring Procedures
box, write the number that corresponds with the rating identified by the student 5-point scale: Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), In the middle (3), Agree (4), Strongly Agree (5)

| Item | TSR | PSL | FSL | FGA | $\mathrm{IM}^{*}$ | BEH | DISS | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *Intrinsic motivation (IM) items \#17 and \#30 need to be reversed in value. <br> Student responses for items 17 and 30, should be recoded as follows before entering the value in the clear box: <br> Strongly agree (5)=1 <br> Agree (4) = 2 <br> In the middle (3) $=3$ <br> Disagree (2) $=4$ <br> Strongly disagree (1) $=5$ <br> Affective Engagement: <br> TSR = teacher student relationship; <br> PSL = peer support for learning; <br> FSL = Family support for leaming; <br> Cognitive Engagement: <br> FGA = Future aspiration and goals; <br> $\mathbf{I M}=$ intrinsic motivation <br> Additional domains: <br> - $\mathbf{B E H}=$ Behavioral Engagement <br> - DISS $=$ Disaffection |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SEI Results and Interpretation

- School/district-wide administration/interpretation
- Develop local norms
- Obtain percentile rank based on local norms
- Identify lowest 10\% of students
- Individual student administration/interpretation
- Percentile rank for total scores and individual domains
- Track changes in percentile rank from fall to

是

## Understanding SEI Scores

- Scores at or below the 10\% percentile are most significant indicators of low student engagement
- General groupings
- Low engagement: $1^{\text {st }}$ percentile- $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile
- Middle/average engagement: $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile $-75^{\text {th }}$ percentile
- High engagement: $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile $-99^{\text {th }}$ percentile


## Understanding SEI Scores

- Use SEI subscale percentile ranks to inform interventions with students:
- Affective Engagement
- Teacher-Student Relationships: 32
- Peer Support at School: 13
- Family Support for Learning: 55
- Cognitive Engagement
- Control-Relevance of School Work: 19
- Future Goals and Aspirations: 57
- Intrinsic Motivation: 92
- Also use information about:
- Academic achievement
- Discipline
- Attendance

Personal observation
CONNECT

## Increasing Student Engagement

- Review student engagement handout
- What would you do as a Check \& Connect mentor?



## Percentile Ranks Over Time








## Percentile Ranks Over Time (Fall 2011Fall 2012)

Teacher-Student Relationships


Control/Relevance of Schoolwork



CONNECT

## Understanding Changes in SEI Scores

- Affective Engagement
- Teacher-Student Relationships: 32-36-45
- Peer Support at School: 13-15-33
- Family Support for Learning: 55-85-90
- Cognitive Engagement
- Control-Relevance of School Work: 19-13-15
- Future Goals and Aspirations: 57-55-49
- Intrinsic Motivation: 92-58-81

正

## Next Steps

- Read more about the SEI:
http://checkandconnect.umn.edu/research/en gagement.html
- Register to gain access to the SEI and supporting documents:
http://checkandconnect.umn.edu/research/sei register.html
- Stay tuned for the launch of the SEI App!
$\mathrm{Cl\mid} \pi$

